



Thailand AI Readiness Index Perspective & Discussion

May 27, 2026



IRIS

Welcome!

TARI Founders | Key Roles & Contributions



IRIS

Data Governor & Trust Anchor, National-level Policy

Data Governance

Custodian of data integrity, privacy, and ethical use across the TARI program.

TARI Board Oversight

Chairs governance and ensures strategic direction & institutional accountability.

Policy & National Strategy Linkage

Connects TARI insights to Thailand's AI agenda and policy formation to drive national competitiveness.

Industry Anchor & Ecosystem Partner

Industry Anchor

Anchor corporate partner setting the standard for AI readiness in Thailand.

Ecosystem Convening

Mobilizes the ARC Consortium and broader industry coalition around TARI.

AI Transformation in Practice

Contributes operator-grade insights from one of Thailand's most advanced AI transformations.

TARI PMO, Platform & Insights

TARI PMO

Runs day-to-day program execution, methodology, and consortium operations.

TARI Platform & Dashboard

Manages assessment platform, benchmarking engine, insights dashboard.

AI Organization Transformation Insights

Translates assessment data into organizational diagnostics and actionable transformation playbooks.



Siriporn Pittayasophon, Ph.D.

Vice President
Office of National Higher Education Science Research and
Innovation Policy Council (NXPO)

Dr. Siriporn Pittayasophon is the Vice President of the Office of National Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation Policy Council (NXPO), with more than two decades of experience in science, research, and innovation (SRI) policy development and strategic governance. Her expertise spans policy formulation, public administration, innovation ecosystem development, and national system reform, with a strong focus on strengthening Thailand's research and innovation capabilities to enhance long-term competitiveness and sustainable development.



Nonthawat Magroodin

Division Director, Policy Data Center
Office of National Higher Education Science Research and
Innovation Policy Council (NXPO)

Nonthawat Magroodin is the Division Director of the Policy Data Center at the National Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation Policy Council Office (NXPO), where he plays a key role in advancing Thailand's data-driven policy development in higher education, science, research, and innovation. With extensive expertise in policy-oriented data analysis, he specializes in the interpretation and integration of large-scale national and international datasets to support strategic planning, competitiveness assessment



**Kantima
Lerlertyuttitham**

Deputy Chief Executive Officer &
Chief Corporate Officer

Kantima Lerlertyuttitham is Deputy Chief Executive Officer and Chief Corporate Officer of AIS, where she leads the company's corporate functions, including Human Resources, Legal, Corporate Communications, Operations, Organization Transformation, and Public Affairs, to support business strategy, sustainable growth, and enterprise transformation.



**Chatchai
Khunpitoluck**

Head of AIS Academy
AIS Academy

Chatchai Khunpitoluck is the Head of AIS Academy and a visionary leader in organizational learning, workforce transformation, and capability development. He plays a strategic role in shaping AIS's enterprise-wide learning agenda, driving initiatives that align people capability, digital skills, and leadership development with the organization's long-term business transformation and competitiveness goals.



**Chinawut
Chinaprayoon, Ph.D.**

Head of Digital Technology Academy
AIS Academy

Dr. Chinawut Chinaprayoon is a digital transformation and talent development leader with extensive experience across both public and private sectors. Prior to joining AIS, he played a significant role at Thailand's Digital Economy Promotion Agency (depa), where he helped drive national initiatives in artificial intelligence, startup ecosystems, smart cities, and digital workforce development.



Julanop Santipong
Learning Solution & Design Manager
Digital Technology Academy
AIS Academy



Supawan Rungtaweekijkul
Learning Solution Specialist
Digital Technology Academy
AIS Academy

IRIS



Boriwat Pinradab

TARI Lead
*Former Managing Director,
Head of Thailand - BCG*

Boriwat Pinradab is a seasoned management consultant and corporate leader, formerly Partner and Managing Director, Head of Thailand at Boston Consulting Group (BCG). Throughout his career, he specialized in corporate strategy, digital transformation, customer journey design, and large-scale business transformation, particularly within the financial services and insurance sectors.



Yongyut Ongwattanapat

TARI Lead
*Former Executive Director,
Nielsen*

Yongyut Ongwattanapat most recently served as Head of Retail Banking Strategy. With over five years in commercial banking, he played a key role in driving growth through innovative strategies, customer data management, and market segmentation, particularly within the SME sector. His expertise in business model optimization strengthened organizational effectiveness and market positioning.



Penny Laosiripojana

TARI PMO Lead

Penny Laosiripojana is a founder of IRIS Consulting and BrighterBee. Prior to founding IRIS, Penny delivered Consulting solutions at BCG, Mercer, and Deloitte. She has been working closely with C-level executives and function leaders in leading organizations across various industries, focusing on strategy and organization transformation as well as HR Transformation.



Ekanat Boonlue
Consulting Lead
IRIS Consulting



Tipvadee Soudorn
Client Solution Lead
IRIS Consulting

IRIS



Dit Suthiwong, Ph.D.

TARI Data & AI Practitioner
*Former PMO,
IBM*

Dit Suthiwong is a strategic transformation leader with over 20 years of experience in program governance and digital transformation across Thailand's leading regulated organizations. Holding both a PMP certification and a Ph.D. in Computational Intelligence (CI), he specializes in enterprise modernization and transformation program management, particularly within the banking and insurance.



Rungkarn Ratanaporn

TARI AI Organization Practitioner
*Former HR Director,
Diageo Moet Hennessy*

Rungkarn Ratanaporn is an accomplished Global Talent Manager with extensive HR leadership experience across multinational organizations, including Diageo Moet Hennessy, Chevron, Merck Group, and Mercer. She specializes in organizational development, talent management, and people transformation, leading initiatives across Thailand and the APAC region.



Padcha Chunanantatham

TARI PMO Manager

Padcha Chunanantatham is a Director at IRIS Consulting with experience in People & Organization transformation. She has worked with executives and functional leaders to translate business goals into actionable priorities, KPIs/OKRs, and management practices that strengthen execution across teams.



Peerasak Suwannanon
IRIS Tech & AI Partner
Barelion



Chotipong Nimkulrat
IRIS Tech & AI Partner
Barelion

The opportunity, the gap, and what TARI does about it

Thailand needs more than a readiness score — it needs the operating system to convert readiness into realized value.

80,000

AI talent gap in Thailand
UNESCO Thailand AI Readiness Report, 2025

38

Rank of 63 Countries

World digital competitiveness ranking
IMD, 2025

74%

Of global firms capture no AI values
BCG, 2025

What TARI delivers

DIAGNOSE



8 pillars × 3 levels assessment from C-suite to individual contributor — including the new Pillar 8: Adoption & Realized Impact.

BENCHMARK



First Thailand-wide and industry-specific AI readiness benchmarks, anchored by the ARC Consortium of leading corporates and SMEs.

INSIGHTS



Reveals where readiness converts to value — and where it stalls. Cross-pillar diagnostics surface the bottlenecks between adoption and impact.

STRATEGY



Pillar-by-pillar best practices & action playbook with interventions sequenced by ROI and readiness — a 12-month roadmap from gap to outcome.

01 Measure

3 Levels
× 8 Pillars

3 Levels

- Lv. 1 Organization-level Assessment
C-Suite Interview/Survey
- Lv. 2 Function-level Assessment
Function Head Survey
- Lv. 3 Individual-level Assessment
Every employee Knowledge Test

8 Pillars

- P1 AI Strategy & Leadership
- P2 Data Foundation
- P3 Technology & Infra.
- P4 AI Talent & Workforce
- P5 Governance & Risk
- P6 Use Cases & Value
- P7 Culture & Change
- P8 Adoption & Impact

02 Benchmark

5 Benchmarks
× 8 Industries

3 Industry-Level

- Average Scorecard
- Quartile Distribution
- Pillar-by-Industry Heatmap

2 National-Level

- Cross-Industry Insight
- Thailand AI Readiness Score

8 Industries (SET Structure)

AGRI & FOOD เกษตรและอาหาร	CONSUMER สินค้าอุปโภคบริโภค
FINANCIAL ธุรกิจการเงิน	INDUSTRIAL สินค้าอุตสาหกรรม
PROPERTY & CONS อสังหาและก่อสร้าง	RESOURCES ทรัพยากร
SERVICES บริการ	TECHNOLOGY เทคโนโลยี

03 Activate

Activate
AI Transformation

Pillar-by-pillar action playbook — interventions sequenced by ROI and readiness, based on assessment findings.

Maturity Journey



ARC (AI Readiness Consortium) Engagement with TARI
 Same framework. Same standards. Tier-appropriate depth.

ARC Engagement with TARI		Tier 1 ARC Co-Founders	Tier 2 ARC Partners	Tier 3 ARC Community
Who		Top-tier Sector Leaders as Sector Representatives	Leading Large Corporates	Corporates & SMEs
Assessment Framework Committee Perspectives on methods, model, analyses		Committee Members	—	—
Benefit		Premium Insights <i>Featured in TARI Report</i>	Industry Benchmark <i>By-invitation feature</i>	National Benchmark <i>Open participation to summit</i>
Level 1 — Executive interviews 1 organization · 1 interview session		1 Interview Session with C-level Executive	—	—
Level 1 — National-scale survey for Organization View 1 organization · 1 online assessment		1 Online Assessment (Access by C-level)		
Level 2 — National-scale survey for Function View 1 organization · 1 online assessment per Function Leader		1 Online Assessment (Access by All Function Leaders)		
Level 3 — Capability Modeling & Assessment Applied to all Tier 1–3				
Dimension		Model	Customized Model	Assessment
Capability	<i>TARI Vision : Scaled to AI Capability Passport for Thai professionals in Y 2027</i>	Access to TARI Model & Best Practices on TARI Platform	Optional Add-On via TARI Platform	
Competency				
Skill				
Knowledge				All Employees

TARI Framework

The 8 Pillars

AI readiness is a building. Eight pillars hold it up.

Foundation supports People · Safeguards keep it sound · Results prove it's working · Adoption proves it's actually being used.

The Building Analogy

The Eight Pillars

Results Use Cases + Value + Realized Impact <i>Is the building actually being used and producing value?</i>	P6 · P8	P1 AI Strategy & Leadership Vision, sponsorship, investment, roadmap
Safeguards Governance + Ethics <i>Are building codes and safety standards in place?</i>	P5	P2 Data Foundation & Governance Quality, accessibility, AI-readiness of data
People Talent + Culture <i>Right builders, working well together?</i>	P4 · P7	P3 Technology & Infrastructure Cloud, MLOps, AI platforms, integration
Foundation Strategy + Data + Technology <i>Right blueprint, materials, and equipment?</i>	P1 · P2 · P3	P4 AI Talent & Workforce Capability Specialists, practitioners, org-wide literacy
		P5 AI Governance, Ethics & Risk Responsible AI, regulatory readiness
		P6 Use Cases & Value Delivery Pipeline, pilot-to-production, ROI
		P7 Culture, Change & Innovation Change readiness, innovation, collaboration
		P8 AI Adoption & Realized Impact Live telemetry × proficiency × workflow KPIs

Frameworks referenced:

Gartner AI Maturity Model · BCG AI Maturity Matrix · McKinsey State of AI · NIST AI RMF · WEF Future of Jobs 2025 · ISO/IEC 42001 · UNESCO RAM

Assessment Methodology

The 3 Assessment Levels

We don't just ask one person. We zoom from satellite view to street level.

Three lenses, three respondents, three independent data signals — triangulated for the first true 360° picture.

Level 1 ORGANIZATION

Who Responds
C-Suite

What We Learn

"How AI-ready is our company overall?"

Strategic, board-level view. Captures vision, investment, and enterprise governance maturity.

Effort:

45–60 min survey
+ optional 45-min executive interview

Output:

Enterprise readiness scorecard in 8 pillars

Level 2 FUNCTION

Who Responds
Function Heads

What We Learn

"How AI-ready is each function?"

Reveals where AI is strong vs. weak across the business — most enterprises have wide variance.

Effort:

30–45 min function-specific survey
per function

Output:

Function-by-function maturity heatmap

Level 3 INDIVIDUAL

Who Responds
Every employee, every role

What We Learn

"How AI-capable are our people?"

Three sub-tests measure what people behave, what they know, and what they can do with AI.

Effort:

20–45 min total
(Competency · Knowledge · Skill)

Output:

Individual + aggregated capability profile

Assessment Methodology

Level 1: Top Management Assessment

The following presents the complete Level 1 assessment instrument.

This assessment is completed by 1–2 C-Suite leaders and provides the organization-wide AI readiness perspective.

All questions use a 5-point maturity scale unless otherwise noted.

Response Scale

- 1 = Not started / Not in place
- 2 = Early stage / Beginning
- 3 = Developing / Partially in place
- 4 = Advanced / Largely in place
- 5 = Leading / Fully mature

Pillar 1: AI Strategy & Leadership (10 Questions)

#	Question	Type
1.1	Our organization has a clearly documented AI strategy that is aligned with overall business strategy.	1–5 Scale
1.2	AI strategy has been formally endorsed and actively championed by the CEO and/or Board of Directors.	1–5 Scale
1.3	We have a dedicated senior leader (e.g., Chief AI Officer, Chief Data Officer, or equivalent) accountable for AI initiatives.	1–5 Scale
1.4	Our organization has allocated a defined annual budget for AI investment (including technology, talent, and training).	1–5 Scale
1.5	AI is a standing agenda item in Board meetings or Executive Committee meetings at least quarterly.	1–5 Scale
1.6	Our AI strategy includes a clear 3–5 year roadmap with defined milestones, KPIs, and success metrics.	1–5 Scale
1.7	We have a structured process for prioritizing AI use cases based on business value and feasibility.	1–5 Scale
1.8	Our leadership team has a shared understanding of AI’s potential impact on our industry and competitive landscape.	1–5 Scale
1.9	Our organization actively monitors global and local AI trends to inform strategic decision-making.	1–5 Scale
1.10	We have established strategic partnerships with AI vendors, technology providers, or research institutions.	1–5 Scale

Every function survey: 12 questions, 8 pillars

Same allocation in every function — so results aggregate cleanly into the organization-level scorecard.

SM Sales & Marketing
Value share: 28%

Archetype:
Differentiate + Reinvent

CO Customer Ops
Value share: 21%

Archetype:
Automate + Augment

SE Software Eng
Value share: 17%

Archetype:
Augment + Automate

RD R&D / Innovation
Value share: 12%

Archetype:
Reinvent + Augment

SC Supply Chain & Ops
Value share: 8%

Archetype:
Augment + Automate

HR Human Resources
Value share: 5%

Archetype:
Augment → Reinvent

FA Finance & Acctng
Value share: 4%

Archetype:
Augment + Automate

LC Legal/Risk/Comp
Value share: 3%

Archetype:
Augment

IT IT Infrastructure
Value share: 2%

Archetype:
Automate

Why we restructured the assessment: from a flat skill list to a four-tier hierarchy

The previous 6-category skill taxonomy and 11-domain leadership matrix sat side by side without a parent-child logic.

The new framework nests them — so every learning goal, assessment item, and ROI metric ladders back to a single capability.

CAPABILITY

Organizational ability to deliver
an AI outcome at scale

COMPETENCY

Role-level ability —
observable, repeatable performance

KNOWLEDGE

Conceptual understanding the person holds

SKILL

Practical, hands-on execution the person can perform

*Knowledge and Skill are siblings, not a sequence:
a person can hold one without the other.*

How It Nests

- 01 1 Capability contains many Competencies**
Example: the Capability 'AI-Augmented Productivity' contains Prompt Engineering, AI Tool Mastery, AI-Augmented Analysis, and Agent Orchestration.
- 02 1 Competency contains many Knowledges**
Example: 'Prompt Engineering' includes knowledge of LLM behaviour, design principles, context engineering, chain-of-thought, and failure modes.
- 03 1 Competency contains many Skills**
The same competency contains hands-on skills: crafting prompts, iterating on output, building reusable templates, and evaluating quality.
- 04 Knowledge × Skill = Competency**
A person is competent only when they know enough AND can execute. The assessment measures each separately so gaps are precisely diagnosable.

Assessment Methodology

The 7 AI Capabilities — Holistic Organizational View


Seven capabilities span the entire AI value lifecycle and cut across every business function

Each capability is a distinct organizational ability. Together they form the operating system that turns AI investment into realized value.

Governance is a horizontal layer that wraps every other capability.

C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7
AI Strategy & Leadership Competencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategic Vision Setting • Investment & Portfolio Decisions • Ecosystem & Partnership • Transformation Leadership 	AI Opportunity Identification Competencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use Case Discovery • Value × Feasibility Assessment • Solution Scoping 	AI Solution Development Competencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data Engineering for AI • Model Development & Training • Architecture & Integration 	AI Operations (MLOps) Competencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deployment & Lifecycle Mgmt. • Monitoring & Performance • Infrastructure & Platform 	AI-Augmented Productivity Competencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prompt Engineering • AI Tool Mastery • AI-Augmented Analysis • Agent Design & Orchestration 	AI Value Realization Competencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance Measurement • Adoption & Change Mgmt. • Continuous Improvement & Scaling 	AI Governance, Ethics & Risk Competencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responsible AI Principles & Practice • AI Risk Assessment & Mitigation • AI Regulatory Compliance • AI Ethics, Fairness & Bias Mitigation

Applies Across The Business Value Chain



Worked example: how the competency 'Prompt Engineering' breaks into its Knowledges and Skills

Parent Capability C5 · AI-Augmented Productivity → Competency 5.1 · Prompt Engineering

Competency definition:

the ability to instruct generative AI systems precisely and repeatably to produce useful, reliable outputs aligned with business intent.

KNOWLEDGE — what the person must understand

K1	LLM behavior & architecture <i>How transformer-based models tokenize, generate, and bound output.</i>
K2	Prompt design principles <i>Clarity, specificity, role context, constraints, examples.</i>
K3	Context engineering <i>What to include, what to omit, how to structure long context.</i>
K4	Output specification <i>Format control: schemas, structured output, JSON mode.</i>
K5	Advanced techniques <i>Chain-of-thought, few-shot, ReAct, self-consistency.</i>
K6	Limitations & failure modes <i>Hallucination patterns, injection risks, context drift.</i>
K7	Evaluation & quality <i>How prompt quality is measured, benchmarked, regression-tested.</i>

SKILL — what the person must be able to do

S1	Craft clear, contextual prompts <i>Turn a vague intent into a specific, well-scoped instruction.</i>
S2	Iterate based on output <i>Diagnose what failed and revise prompt elements systematically.</i>
S3	Build reusable prompt templates <i>Parameterize prompts for repeatable tasks across the team.</i>
S4	Multi-step prompt chaining <i>Decompose complex jobs into a sequence of cooperating prompts.</i>
S5	Ground prompts in source data <i>Use retrieval, citations, and source-conditioned instructions.</i>
S6	Evaluate prompt quality at scale <i>Build small test sets, run A/B comparisons against benchmarks.</i>
S7	Harden prompts for production <i>Defend against injection, validate output, version and ship safely.</i>

This pattern repeats for every one of the 24 competencies —
yielding ~160 Knowledges and ~150 Skills across the framework, all individually assessable.

Assessment Methodology

Four-Tier Assessment Methodology

Each tier demands a different assessment method - using the wrong method on the wrong tier is the most common diagnostic failure
 Each item is mapped to a specific Knowledge component (K1–K7 for Prompt Engineering, etc.) so a person's score isn't just a number — it surfaces exactly which concepts they hold and which they don't.

Tier 01 Capability	Tier 02 Competency	Tier 03 Knowledge	Tier 04 Skill
<p><i>"Can the organization deliver an AI-driven outcome at scale?"</i></p> <p>Method: Maturity Survey + Evidence Review</p> <p>Respondent: C-Suite, CAIO, CDO (TARI L1)</p> <p>Mechanics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5-point maturity scale per capability • Triangulated across ≥ 3 respondents • Evidence artefacts (policy, roadmap, KPIs) • Optional executive interview validation 	<p><i>"Can this role perform this competency reliably?"</i></p> <p>Method: Behavioural 360° + Manager Rating</p> <p>Respondent: Function Leaders & teams (TARI L2)</p> <p>Mechanics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Behavioral anchor rubric per competency • Self + manager + 2 peer ratings (360°) • Anchored to observable on-the-job behavior • Calibrated against self-rating bias 	<p><i>"Does the person understand the concepts?"</i></p> <p>Method: Adaptive Knowledge Test</p> <p>Respondent: Every employee (TARI L3a)</p> <p>Mechanics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MCQ + scenario-based items • 4 proficiency levels × 10 items / competency • Adaptive — earlier items set next-level entry • Auto-scored with rationale per item 	<p><i>"Can the person actually do it on real work?"</i></p> <p>Method: Performance Task (Simulated)</p> <p>Respondent: Every employee (TARI L3b)</p> <p>Mechanics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Simulated work task with live AI tools • Rubric-graded artefact (prompt set, output) • Time-boxed, role-relevant scenario • Inter-rater calibration with sample rubrics
<p>Output: Organizational maturity score per capability (1–5)</p>	<p>Output: Role-by-role competency rating + 360 spread</p>	<p>Output: Knowledge score by proficiency level</p>	<p>Output: Skill proficiency score with task-level detail</p>

Methods get more rigorous (and more expensive) as you move right — but also more authoritative. TARI deploys all four because each fills the others' blind spots.

Five principles — designed so corporates can participate with full confidence

Built around international standards (ISO/IEC 27001, NIST AI RMF) and Thailand PDPA. Authority anchored at NXPO and the TARI BOD.

01

Company Data Stays Confidential

Individual organization-level scores, raw responses, and identifying details are never disclosed to other participants, the public, or even to most TARI staff. Access is strictly role-based and audit-logged.

02

Aggregation Before Disclosure

Only aggregated analyses — Total Thailand, industry, sector, function level — are publicly disclosed in the TARI Intelligence Report. Industry benchmarks require a minimum of 5 organizations per cell.

03

Each Company Owns Its View

Each participating company sees only its own data — comparing itself against industry and national benchmarks via the TARI Platform. Confidential dashboards are accessible only to authorized company representatives.

04

NXPO as Independent Data Governor

NXPO holds independent governance authority over all participating-company data. Any disclosure or non-aggregate use of data requires formal approval from the TARI Board of Directors.

05

PDPA + International Standards Compliant

Compliance with Thailand PDPA, ISO/IEC 27001 information security, NIST AI RMF, and emerging Thailand AI governance guidelines. Annual third-party audit of data-handling practices.

One platform — assessment delivery, automated scoring, dashboards, and benchmark comparison

Built and operated by IRIS as TARI PMO. Granular access controls. Mobile-friendly for individual assessments.

01

ASSESS

Assessment Engine

Adaptive surveys for Levels 1, 2, 3. Knowledge tests with adaptive difficulty. Skill performance tasks with simulated AI environments. PDPA-compliant data capture.

02

SCORE

Automated Scoring & Analytics

Real-time scoring against the 8-pillar maturity model. Pillar 8 telemetry integration. Automated cohort analysis and quartile placement.

03

VISUALIZE

Confidential Dashboards

Each company sees its own scorecard, gap analysis, and benchmarks. Drill-down by pillar, level, function, and individual. Year-on-year tracking.

04

BENCHMARK

Industry & National Comparison

View own organization vs. industry average and quartile distribution. Total Thailand benchmark for cross-sector context. Heatmap of pillar performance by industry.

Access Model

Company Sponsor

- Full company view + benchmarks
- Admin rights to invite users from own org

Function Leader

- Function-specific assessment
- Own function dashboard

Individual Employee

- Personal assessment
- Private capability profile

TARI PMO (IRIS)

- Anonymized analytics for benchmarks
- No company-identifiable data

TARI Roadmap

Year One

Setup → Kickoff → Assessment → Results

Parallel workstreams: stakeholder alignment, platform development, participant engagement, and assessment delivery.

Key Activities	Y2026							
	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Sign MOU		Sign MOU of Founders						
Setup ARC	ARC establishment and Stakeholder alignment							
Engage Tier 2-3	Recruit Partners and Community participants							
Build TARI Platform	Assessment + dashboard platform development							
Public Kickoff			Press Conf					
Launch Assessment				All 3 levels × all 3 tiers, in parallel				
Analyze Results						Analysis · Benchmarking · Intelligence Report		
Launch Results								Report Release

TARI Roadmap

Next Steps

For **Tier 1** TARI Members

Five-step alignment with TARI PMO (all sessions via MS Teams)

Within Jun 12	Jun 15 – 30	Jul 1 – 3	Jul 6 – 10	Jul 13 – 17
Participation & Tier	Discussion session	Alignment Session I	PMO Adjustment	Alignment Session II
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Indicate decision to join TARI as Tier 1, 2 or 3 Indicate TARI Key Contact (2 persons / organization) 	1-Hour <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Each Member joins a session with TARI PMO to discuss and provide viewpoints on the proposed framework and methodology 	1-Hour <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tier 1 peers TARI PMO 	1-Hour <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TARI PMO adjusts the assessment based on Session I 	1-Hour <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All Members finalize the assessment

For **All Tier** TARI Members

Jul	TARI Official Launch (Press Conference) Participate in the official launch event
Aug-Oct	Assessment Phase Participate in the TARI assessment process
Dec	Result Report-Out National-level TARI result report-out
Dec →	Platform & Dashboard Access Ongoing access to TARI Platform & Dashboard

TARI Platform & Dashboard — Release Plan

Y2026 Foundation	Y2027 Expansion
Assessment operation <i>via F2F interviews and online form</i>	Assessment operation <i>via F2F interviews and TARI Platform</i>
Assessment result <i>via TARI Dashboard</i>	Assessment result <i>via TARI Platform & Dashboard</i>
	Individual capability passport <i>via TARI Platform & Dashboard</i>

tari



IRIS